

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,241	12/09/2003	Kyung Whan Kim	CU-3484 RJS	4902
26530 75	590 05/10/2005		EXAM	NER
LADAS & PARRY LLP 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE			CHANG, JOSEPH	
SUITE 1200	ICHIGAN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60604		2817	-
			DATE MAILED, 05/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/731,241	KIM, KYUNG WHAN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph Chang	2817				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	1 ,					
Period for	or Reply	••	•				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thire eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
′=		This action is non-final.					
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 and 11</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-9 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	nents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		· ·				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A# a=b	Ma)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/731,241

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: the recitation "than" should be omitted for clarity of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lupi et al., US Patent No. 5,317,219.

Regarding Claim 1, Lupi et al. discloses in Figures 1-5 a multi-loop oscillator comprising: first to Nth delay loops (ring oscillator with selection of number of cell in 20), wherein oscillation signal having a predetermined period is generated by selecting (by memory 30, Col., 4, lines 50-65) one of first to Nth delay loops according to potential variation supply voltage (Col.4, lines 45-50). It is noted that the functional limitations are inherently present in the structure that is the same as the device recited in the claim.

Regarding Claim 2, Figure 2 shows a loop selection section (30, Col., 4, lines 50-65), for selecting one loop from among the first to the Nth delay loops, according to potential variation of the supply voltage (Col.4, lines 45-50).

Regarding Claim 4-7, the functional limitations are inherently present in the structure that is the same as the device recited in the claims.

Regarding Claims 8-9, Figure 2 shows the supply voltage (VDD) used as a driving voltage of the inverter chain (44,50, and the next n cells).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-11 are allowed.

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the best prior art of record, Lupi et al., taken alone or in combination of other references, does not teach or fairly suggest a supply voltage detection circuit section, as set forth in the claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Boudewijns et al. discloses a multiple ring oscillators coupled in a ring.

Krawczyk et al. discloses a feed forward voltage controlled ring oscillator.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Chang whose telephone number is 571 272-1759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 0700-1730.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,241

Art Unit: 2817

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jóseph Chang ' Patent Examiner Art Unit 2817